arXiv:1607.00090v1 [math-ph] 1 Jul 2016

An operator theoretical proof for the second-order phase transition in the BCS-Bogoliubov model of superconductivity

Shuji Watanabe
Division of Mathematical Sciences
Graduate School of Engineering, Gunma University
4-2 Aramaki-machi, Maebashi 371-8510, Japan
Email: shuwatanabe@gunma-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We first show some properties such as smoothness and monotone decreasingness of the solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation for superconductivity with respect to the temperature near the transition temperature from the viewpoint of operator theory. Moreover we give the behavior of the solution with respect to the temperature near the transition temperature. On the basis of these results, dealing with the thermodynamic potential, we then show that the transition to the superconducting state is a second-order phase transition from the viewpoint of operator theory. Moreover we obtain the exact and explicit expression for the gap in the specific heat at constant volume at the transition temperature from the viewpoint of operator theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010. 45G10, 47H10, 47N50, 82D55.

Keywords. the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation, solution, smoothness, monotone decreasingness, temperature, nonlinear integral equation, second-order phase transition, superconductivity.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper we deal with the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation [2, 4] for superconductivity:

$$u(T,\,x)=\int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{U(x,\,\xi)\,u(T,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\,d\xi,\quad T\geq 0,\quad \varepsilon\leq x\leq\hbar\omega_D\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where the solution u is a function of the absolute temperature T and the energy x, and the constant $\omega_D>0$ (resp. $\hbar$) stands for the Debye angular frequency (resp. Planck's constant divided by 2π). The potential U satisfies $U(x,\,\xi)>0$ at all $(x,\,\xi)\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]^2$. Here we introduce $\varepsilon>0$, which is sufficiently small and fixed. In the original BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation, one sets $\varepsilon=0$. However we introduce a very small $\varepsilon>0$ because of some mathematical reasons.

In (1.1) we consider the solution u as a function of the absolute temperature T and the energy x. Accordingly, we deal with the integral with respect to the energy ξ in (1.1). Sometimes one considers the solution u as a function of the absolute temperature and the wave vector. Accordingly, instead of the integral in (1.1), one deals with the integral with respect to the wave vector over the three dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$. Odeh [11], and Billard and Fano [3] established the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation for

$T = 0$, and Vansevenant [12] for $T \geq 0$. Bach, Lieb and Solovej [1] studied the gap equation in the Hubbard model for a constant potential, and showed that its solution is strictly decreasing with respect to the temperature. Frank, Hainzl, Naboko and Seiringer [5] studied the asymptotic behavior of the transition temperature (the critical temperature) at weak coupling. Hainzl, Hamza, Seiringer and Solovej [6] proved that the existence of a positive solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation is equivalent to the existence of a negative eigenvalue of a certain linear operator, and showed the existence of a transition temperature. Hainzl and Seiringer [7] obtained upper and lower bounds on the transition temperature and the energy gap for the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation. For interdisciplinary reviews of the BCS-Bogoliubov model of superconductivity, see Kuzemsky [8, 9].

As is well known, in condensed matter physics, it is highly desirable to study the temperature dependence of the nonnegative solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation. This is because studying the temperature dependence of the nonnegative solution, by dealing with the thermodynamic potential, leads to a proof of the statement that the transition to the superconducting state is a second-order phase transition in the BCS-Bogoliubov model without the magnetic fields. So, in this paper, we first show some properties such as smoothness and monotone decreasingness of the nonnegative solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation (1.1) with respect to the temperature near the transition temperature from the viewpoint of operator theory. Moreover we give the behavior of the solution with respect to the temperature near the transition temperature. On the basis of these results, dealing with the thermodynamic potential, we then show that the transition to the superconducting state is a second-order phase transition from the viewpoint of operator theory. Moreover we obtain the exact and explicit expression for the gap in the specific heat at constant volume at the transition temperature from the viewpoint of operator theory.

We define a nonlinear integral operator A by

$$Au(T,\, x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\, \xi)\, u(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi. \tag{1.2}$$

Here the right side of this equality is exactly the right side of the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation (1.1). Since the solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation is a fixed point of our operator A, we apply fixed point theorems to our operator A.

Let $U_1 > 0$ is a positive constant and set $U(x,\, \xi) = U_1$ at all $(x,\, \xi) \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]^2$. Then the solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation becomes a function of the temperature T only, and we denote the solution by Δ_1. Accordingly, the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation (1.1) is reduced to the simple gap equation [2]

$$1 = U_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_1(T)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi, \quad 0 \leq T \leq \tau_1\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where the temperature $\tau_1 > 0$ is defined by (see [2])

$$1 = U_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\xi} \tanh \frac{\xi}{2\tau_1}\, d\xi. \tag{1.4}$$

See also Niwa [10] and Ziman [17].

As is well known in the BCS-Bogoliubov model, physicists and engineers studying superconductivity always assume that there is a unique nonnegative solution Δ_1 to the simple gap equation (1.3), that the solution Δ_1 is continuous and strictly decreasing with respect to the

temperature T, and that the solution Δ_1 is of class C^2 with respect to the temperature T, and so on. But, as far as the present author knows, there is no mathematical proof for these assumptions of the BCS-Bogoliubov model. Applying the implicit function theorem to the simple gap equation (1.3), we obtain the following proposition that indeed gives a mathematical proof for these assumptions:

Proposition 1.1 ([13, Proposition 1.2]). *Let $U_1 > 0$ is a positive constant and set $U(x,\, \xi) = U_1$ at all $(x,\, \xi) \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]^2$. Set*

$$\Delta = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\hbar\omega_D - \varepsilon\, e^{1/U_1}\right)\left(\hbar\omega_D - \varepsilon\, e^{-1/U_1}\right)}}{\sinh\frac{1}{U_1}}.$$

Then there is a unique nonnegative solution $\Delta_1 : [\,0,\, \tau_1\,] \to [0,\, \infty)$ to the simple gap equation (1.3) such that the solution Δ_1 is continuous and strictly decreasing with respect to the temperature T on the closed interval $[\,0,\, \tau_1\,]$:

$$\Delta_1(0) = \Delta > \Delta_1(T_1) > \Delta_1(T_2) > \Delta_1(\tau_1) = 0, \qquad 0 < T_1 < T_2 < \tau_1.$$

Moreover, the solution Δ_1 is of class C^2 with respect to the temperature T on the interval $[\,0,\, \tau_1\,)$ and satisfies

$$\Delta_1'(0) = \Delta_1''(0) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{T\uparrow\tau_1} \Delta_1'(T) = -\infty.$$

Remark 1.2. We set $\Delta_1(T) = 0$ at $T > \tau_1$. See figure 1.

We then introduce another positive constant $U_2 > 0$. Let $0 < U_1 < U_2$ and set $U(x,\, \xi) = U_2$ at all $(x,\, \xi) \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]^2$. Then a similar discussion implies that for U_2, there is a unique nonnegative solution $\Delta_2 : [\,0,\, \tau_2\,] \to [0,\, \infty)$ to the simple gap equation

$$1 = U_2 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}\, \tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi, \qquad 0 \le T \le \tau_2\,. \tag{1.5}$$

Here, $\tau_2 > 0$ is defined by

$$1 = U_2 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\xi}\, \tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau_2}\, d\xi. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.3. We again set $\Delta_2(T) = 0$ at $T > \tau_2$.

Lemma 1.4 ([13, Lemma 1.5]). (a) *The inequality $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ holds.*
(b) *If $0 \le T < \tau_2$, then $\Delta_1(T) < \Delta_2(T)$. If $T \ge \tau_2$, then $\Delta_1(T) = \Delta_2(T) = 0$.*

See figure 1. The function Δ_2 has properties similar to those of the function Δ_1.

We now deal with the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation (1.1). We assume the following condition on U:

$$U(\cdot,\, \cdot) \in C([\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]^2), \qquad (0 <)\ U_1 \le U(x,\, \xi) \le U_2 \quad \text{at all} \quad (x,\, \xi) \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]^2. \tag{1.7}$$

Let $0 \le T \le \tau_2$ and fix T. We now consider the Banach space $C[0,\, \hbar\omega_D]$ consisting of continuous functions of the energy x only, and deal with the following temperature dependent subset V_T:

$$V_T = \{u(T,\, \cdot) \in C[\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D] :\ \Delta_1(T) \le u(T,\, x) \le \Delta_2(T) \text{ at } x \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]\}\,.$$




Figure 1: The graphs of the functions Δ_1 and Δ_2 with x fixed.

Remark 1.5. The set V_T depends on the temperature T. See figures 1 and 2.

The following theorem gives another proof of the existence and uniqueness of the nonnegative solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation, and shows how the solution varies with the temperature.

Theorem 1.6 ([13, Theorem 2.2])**.** *Assume* (1.7) *and let* $T \in [0,\, \tau_2]$ *be fixed. Then there is a unique nonnegative solution* $u_0(T,\, \cdot) \in V_T$ *to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation* (1.1)*:*

$$u_0(T,\, x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\, \xi)\, u_0(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}}\, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi, \quad x \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D].$$

Consequently, the solution $u_0(T,\, \cdot)$ *with* T *fixed is continuous with respect to the energy* x *and varies with the temperature as follows:*

$$\Delta_1(T) \le u_0(T,\, x) \le \Delta_2(T) \quad \textit{at} \quad (T,\, x) \in [0,\, \tau_2] \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D].$$

See figure 2.

Remark 1.7. As a matter of fact, we put $\varepsilon = 0$ in [13, Theorem 2.2] just above and in [16, Theorem 1.9] below. However, even when $\varepsilon > 0$, we can similarly prove Thereom 1.6 and Theorem 1.12 below.

Remark 1.8. Let $u_0(T,\, \cdot)$ be as in Theorem 1.6. If there is a point $x_1 \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$ satisfying $u_0(T,\, x_1) = 0$, then $u_0(T,\, x) = 0$ at all $x \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$. See [13, Proposition 2.4].

The existence and uniqueness of the transition temperature T_c were pointed out in previous papers [5, 6, 7, 12]. In our case, we can define it as follows:

Definition 1.9. Let $u_0(T,\, \cdot)$ be as in Theorem 1.6. Then the transition temperature T_c is defined by

$$T_c = \inf\{T > 0 :\, u_0(T,\, x) = 0 \quad \text{at all} \quad x \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]\}.$$




Figure 2: For each fixed T, the solution $u_0(T, x)$ is between $\Delta_1(T)$ and $\Delta_2(T)$.

Remark 1.10. For $u_0(T, \cdot)$ given by Theorem 1.6, we set $u_0(T, x) = 0$ at all $x \in [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$ when $T \geq T_c$. The transition temperature T_c is the critical temperature that divides normal conductivity and superconductivity, and satisfies $\tau_1 \leq T_c \leq \tau_2$. See figure 2.

But Theorem 1.6 tells us nothing about continuity of the solution u_0 with respect to the temperature T. Applying the Banach fixed-point theorem, we then showed in [14, Theorem 1.2] that the solution u_0 is indeed continuous both with respect to the temperature T and with respect to the energy x under the restriction that the temperature T is sufficiently small. See also [15].

Let us denote by $z_0 > 0$ a unique solution to the equation $\dfrac{2}{z} = \tanh z \quad (z > 0)$. Note that z_0 is nearly equal to 2.07. Let $\tau_0\,(> 0)$ satisfy

$$\Delta_1(\tau_0) = 2z_0\tau_0\,. \tag{1.8}$$

From (1.8) it follows immediately that $(0 <)\,\tau_0 < \tau_1$.

Remark 1.11. Observed values in many experiments by using superconductors imply the temperature τ_0 is nearly equal to $T_c/2$. Here, T_c is the transition temperature defined above. See figure 3.

Let $0 < \tau_3 < \tau_0$ and fix τ_3. We consider the following subset V of the Banach space $C([0, \tau_3] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D])$:

$$\begin{aligned} V \;=\; & \big\{u \in C([0, \tau_3] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]) : 0 \leq u(T, x) - u(T', x) \leq \gamma\,(T' - T) \quad (T < T'), \\ & \Delta_1(T) \leq u(T, x) \leq \Delta_2(T) \;\; at \;\; (T, x),\; (T', x) \in [0, \tau_3] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]\big\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\gamma > 0$ is a constant defined in [16, (2.2)]. The following theorem points out Lipschitz continuity and monotone decreasingness with respect to the temperature T of the solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation.

Theorem 1.12 ([16, Theorem 1.9])**.** *Assume* (1.7). *Let* τ_3 *and* V *be as above. Then the operator* $A : V \to V$ *has a unique fixed point* $u_0 \in V$*, i.e., there is a unique nonnegative solution* $u_0 \in V$ *to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation* (1.1). *Consequently, the solution* u_0 *is*

continuous on $[0, \tau_3] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$, *and is Lipschitz continuous and monotone decreasing with respect to the temperature* T. *Moreover, the solution* u_0 *satisfies* $\Delta_1(T) \leq u_0(T, x) \leq \Delta_2(T)$ *at* $(T, x) \in [0, \tau_3] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$.

See figure 3.




Figure 3: The solution u_0 belongs to the subset V.

We now introduce the thermodynamic potential Ω in the BCS-Bogoliubov model without the magnetic fields:

$$\Omega = -k_B \ln Z,$$

where k_B denotes the Boltzmann constant and Z the partition function. Throughout this paper we use the unit $k_B = 1$. Generally speaking, the thermodynamic potential Ω is a function of the temperature T, the chemical potential and the volume of our physical system under consideration. However we fix both the chemical potential and the volume of our physical system, and so we consider the thermodynamic potential Ω as a function of the temperature T only. We have only to deal with the difference Ψ between the thermodynamic potential corresponding to the superconducting state and that corresponding to the normal-conducting state. The difference Ψ of the thermodynamic potential in the BCS-Bogoliubov model is given

by (see [13, (1.10)])

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1.9) \qquad \Psi(T) \;=\; & -2N_0 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \left\{ \sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2} - \xi \right\} d\xi \\
& + N_0 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}} \, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T} \, d\xi \\
& - 4N_0 T \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \ln \frac{1 + e^{-\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}}{1 + e^{-\xi/T}} \, d\xi, \quad T \in [\tau,\, T_c],
\end{aligned}
$$

where N_0 stands for the density of states per unit energy at the Fermi surface, and u_0 is given by Theorem 2.2 below and is the solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation (1.1). Here, τ is defined in the next section and satisfies $\tau_1 < \tau < T_c \le \tau_2$. We consider the difference Ψ defined mainly on the closed interval $[\tau,\, T_c]$ only. This is because we are interested in the phase transition at $T = T_c$ and we need to study some properties of Ψ in the neighborhood of the transition temperature T_c .

Definition 1.13. The transition to the superconducting state at the transition temperature T_c is a second-order phase transtion if the difference Ψ of the thermodynamic potential satisfies the following:

(a) $\Psi \in C^2[\tau,\, T_c]$ and $\Psi(T_c) = 0$.

(b) $\dfrac{\partial \Psi}{\partial T}(T_c) = 0$.

(c) $\dfrac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial T^2}(T_c) \neq 0$.

Remark 1.14. Condition (a) of Definition 1.13 implies that the thermodynamic potential Ω is continuous at an arbitrary temperature T. Conditions (a) and (b) imply that the entropy $S = -(\partial\Omega/\partial T)$ is also continuous at an arbitrary temperature T and that, as a result, no latent heat is observed at $T = T_c$. On the other hand, Conditions (a) and (c) imply that the specific heat at constant volume $C_V = -T\,(\partial^2\Omega/\partial T^2)$ is discontinuous at $T = T_c$ and that the gap ΔC_V in C_V is observed at $T = T_c$. Here, the gap ΔC_V at $T = T_c$ is given by

$$
\Delta C_V = -T_c \, \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial T^2}(T_c).
$$

For more details on the entropy and the specific heat at constant volume, see e.g. [2, Section III] or Niwa [10, Section 7.7.3].

2 Main results

We now choose an arbitrary $\tau > 0$, and let $\tau_1 > 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$ satisfy

$$
\tau_1 < \tau < \tau_2 \,.
$$

Here, $\tau_1 > 0$ (resp. $\tau_2 > 0$) is related to $U_1 > 0$ by (1.4) (resp. $U_2 > 0$ by (1.6)). Let the potential $U(\cdot,\,\cdot)$ satisfy both (1.7) and the following:

$$
(2.1) \qquad (0 <)\; a = \max_{x \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]} \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\,\xi)}{\xi} \, \tanh \frac{\xi}{2\tau} \, d\xi \right) < 1.
$$

Even when the potential $U(\cdot,\,\cdot)$ satisfies both (1.7) and (2.1), Therem 1.6 again implies that there is a unique nonnegative solution $u_0(T,\,\cdot) \in V_T$ to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation (1.1). By Definition 1.9, the transition temperature $T_c > 0$ is thus defined. Note that the transition temperature $T_c > 0$ is related to the solution $u_0(T,\,\cdot) \in V_T$. In the next section we give an example of the potential satisfying both (1.7) and (2.1). As for the relation between τ and T_c, we have $\tau < T_c$ or $\tau \geq T_c$, as is also shown in the next section.

Assume the conditions (1.7) and (2.1), and assume also the relation $\tau < T_c$. We next study some properties of the solution $u_0(T,\,\cdot) \in V_T$ with respect to the temperature T near the transition temperature T_c mentioned just above. To this end, we consider the following condition, which gives the behavior of a function as $T \uparrow T_c$:

Condition (C). An element $u \in C([\tau,\,T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D])$ is partially differentiable with respect to the temperature $T \in [\tau,\,T_c)$ twice, and both $(\partial u/\partial T)$ and $(\partial^2 u/\partial T^2)$ belong to $C([\tau,\,T_c) \times [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D])$. Moreover, for u above, there are a unique $v \in C[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$ and a unique $w \in C[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$ satisfying the following:

(C1) $v(x) > 0$ at all $x \in [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$.

(C2) For an arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|T_c - T| < \delta$ implies

$$\left| v(x) - \frac{u(T,\,x)^2}{T_c - T} \right| < T_c\,\varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| v(x) + 2\,u(T,\,x)\,\frac{\partial u}{\partial T}(T,\,x) \right| < T_c\,\varepsilon_1\,.$$

Here, the δ does not depend on $x \in [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$.

(C3) For an arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|T_c - T| < \delta$ implies

$$\left| \frac{w(x)}{2} + \frac{u(T,\,x)^2 + (T_c - T)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left\{u(T,\,x)^2\right\}}{(T_c - T)^2} \right| < \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| w(x) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T^2}\left\{u(T,\,x)^2\right\} \right| < \varepsilon_1\,.$$

Here, the δ does not depend on $x \in [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$.

At first, we define the operator A (see (1.2)) on the following subset W of the Banach space $C([\tau,\,T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D])$, and then define it on its closure $\overline{W}$:

$$Au(T,\,x) = \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\,\xi)\,u(T,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\,d\xi, \qquad u \in W,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W \;=\; & \big\{u \in C([\tau,\,T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]) : u(T,\,x) \geq u(T',\,x) \;\; (T < T'), \\ & 0 = \Delta_1(T) \leq u(T,\,x) \leq \Delta_2(T) \;\; at \;\; (T,\,x),\; (T',\,x) \in [\tau,\,T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D], \\ & u \text{ satisfies Condition (C) above}\big\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned above, $\overline{W}$ denotes the closure of the set W with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of the Banach space $C([\tau,\,T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D])$.

Remark 2.1. It follows directly from Condition (C2) that $u(T_c\,,\,x) = 0$ at all $x \in [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$ for $u \in W$.

The following is one of our main results:

Theorem 2.2. *Choose the potential $U(\cdot,\,\cdot)$ such that $U(\cdot,\,\cdot)$ satisfies* (1.7), (2.1) *and the relation $\tau < T_c$. Then the operator $A : \overline{W} \to \overline{W}$ is contractive, and so there is a unique fixed point*

$u_0 \in \overline{W}$ *of the operator* $A : \overline{W} \to \overline{W}$. *Consequently, there is a unique nonnegative solution* $u_0 \in \overline{W}$ *to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation* (1.1)*:*

$$u_0(T, x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x, \xi)\, u_0(T, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T, \xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T, \xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi, \quad \tau \le T \le T_c\,, \quad \varepsilon \le x \le \hbar\omega_D\,.$$

The solution u_0 *is continuous on* $[\tau, T_c] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$ *and is monotone decreasing with respect to the temperature* T. *Moreover, the solution* u_0 *satisfies that* $0 = \Delta_1(T) \le u(T, x) \le \Delta_2(T)$ *at all* $(T, x) \in [\tau, T_c] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$ *and that* $u_0(T_c\,, x) = 0$ *at all* $x \in [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$. *If* $u_0 \in W$, *then the solution* u_0 *is smooth with respect to the temperature* T, *i.e., the solution* u_0 *satisfies Condition (C). Furthermore, if* $u_0 \in \overline{W} \setminus W$, *then the solution* u_0 *is approximated by an smooth element of the set* W *fulfilling Condition (C).*

See figure 4 for the graph of the solution u_0 near the transition temperature T_c with the energy x fixed.




Figure 4: The graph of the solution u_0 near the transition temperature T_c.

Approximation (A). The function u_0 in the form (1.9) of Ψ is the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W}$ of Thereom 2.2. However, when the solution u_0 is in the set $\overline{W} \setminus W$, we approximate the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W} \setminus W$ by a suitably chosen element $u \in W$, and then we replace the function u_0 in (1.9) by this element $u \in W$. In (1.9) we thus use this element $u \in W$ instead of the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W} \setminus W$ (see also Lemma 5.1 below). Accordingly, we consider the functions v and w in Condition (C) as those corresponding to this element $u \in W$. Needless to say, when the solution u_0 of Thereom 2.2 is in the set W, we use this solution $u_0 \in W$ in (1.9), and we need no approximation in this case.

From Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.3. *Assume Approximation (A) just above. Then the transition to the superconducting state at the transition temperature T_c is a second-order phase transtion.*

Let $g : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$g(\eta) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\eta^2 \cosh^2 \eta} - \dfrac{\tanh \eta}{\eta^3} & (\eta > 0), \\ \\ -\dfrac{2}{3} & (\eta = 0). \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $g(\eta) < 0$. See Lemma 5.4 for some properties of the function g. We remind here that the gap ΔC_V in the specific heat at constant volume at $T = T_c$ is given by Remark 1.14.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume Approximation (A) just above. Let v and g be as above. Then the gap ΔC_V in the specific heat at constant volume at the transition temperature T_c is given by*

$$\Delta C_V = -\frac{N_0}{8\,T_c} \int_{\varepsilon/(2T_c)}^{\hbar\omega_D/(2T_c)} v(2\,T_c\,\eta)^2 g(\eta)\,d\eta \quad (> 0).$$

Remark 2.5. Putting $\varepsilon = 0$ gives

$$\Delta C_V = -\frac{N_0}{8\,T_c} \int_{0}^{\hbar\omega_D/(2T_c)} v(2\,T_c\,\eta)^2 g(\eta)\,d\eta \quad (> 0). \tag{2.3}$$

As far as the present author knows, one obtained only an approximate expression for the gap ΔC_V in previous literature of physics. But, this time, we obtain the exact and explicit expression (2.3) for the gap from the viewpoint of operator theory.

3 An example of the potential

In this section we give an example of the potential satisfying both (1.7) and (2.1). For this potential, we then show that we have $\tau < T_c$ or $\tau \geq T_c$.

Let

$$U(x,\,\xi) = \frac{U_3(x)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\left(\frac{3\,\xi}{\hbar\omega_D - \varepsilon} + 1\right), \qquad (x,\,\xi) \in [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]^2, \tag{3.1}$$

where $U_3(\cdot) > 0$ is continuous and

$$\max_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x) - \min_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x) \quad (> 0) \quad \text{is sufficiently small.} \tag{3.2}$$

Here the positive constant $U_0 > 0$ satisfies

$$0 < U_0 < 0.8 \tag{3.3}$$

and is related to τ as follows:

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U_0}{\xi}\,\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau}\,d\xi = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover we set

$$\frac{\hbar\omega_D}{2\tau} = 100 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2\tau} = 0.01. \tag{3.5}$$

Note that observed values in many experiments by using superconductors satisfy both (3.3) and (3.5).

As for the positive constants U_1 and U_2 in (1.4) and (1.6), we set

$$U_1 = \min_{x,\,\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U(x,\,\xi) = \frac{\min\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\left(\frac{3\,\varepsilon}{\hbar\omega_D-\varepsilon}+1\right)$$

and

$$U_2 = \max_{x,\,\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U(x,\,\xi) = \frac{U_0}{2}\left(\frac{3\,\hbar\omega_D}{\hbar\omega_D-\varepsilon}+1\right)$$

with (3.3) and (3.5). As mentioned above, $U_1 > 0$ and $U_2 > 0$ are related to $\tau_1 > 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$, respectively. See (1.4) and (1.6). Therefore, the condition (1.7) is fulfilled.

Moreover, by (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]}\left(\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{U(x,\,\xi)}{\xi}\,\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau}\,d\xi\right)\\
&= \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{U_0}{\xi}\,\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau}\,d\xi + \frac{U_0}{2}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\left(\frac{3}{\hbar\omega_D-\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{\xi}\right)\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau}\,d\xi\\
&= 1+\frac{U_0}{2}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\left(\frac{3}{\hbar\omega_D-\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{\xi}\right)\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau}\,d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{U_0}{2}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\left(\frac{3}{\hbar\omega_D-\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{\xi}\right)\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau}\,d\xi &= \frac{U_0}{2}\int_{\varepsilon/(2\tau)}^{\hbar\omega_D/(2\tau)}\left(\frac{3}{\frac{\hbar\omega_D}{2\tau}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\tau}}-\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\tanh\eta\,d\eta\\
&\doteqdot \frac{U_0}{2}\times(-2.43445).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.3),

$$0 < \max_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]}\left(\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{U(x,\,\xi)}{\xi}\,\tanh\frac{\xi}{2\tau}\,d\xi\right) < 1.$$

Thus the condition (2.1) is also fulfilled. Thus we find that this example of the potential ((3.1), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5)) indeed satisfies both (1.7) and (2.1).

We assume (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5). As mentioned above, for this example of the potential, Therem 1.6 again implies that there is a unique nonnegative solution $u_1(T,\,\cdot) \in V_T$ to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap equation (1.1). By Definition 1.9, the transition temperature $T_c > 0$ related to this solution $u_1(T,\,\cdot) \in V_T$ is then defined. We next show that we have $\tau < T_c$ or $\tau \geq T_c$. Since $u_1(T,\,\cdot) \in V_T$ is a unique nonnegative solution to the BCS-Bogoliubov gap

equation (1.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.6)\quad & u_1(T,\,x) \\
&= \frac{U_3(x)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\int_{0.01\times 2\tau}^{100\times 2\tau}\frac{\left(\dfrac{3\,\xi}{99.99\times 2\tau}+1\right)u_1(T,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(T,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\,d\xi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \le T \le T_c$ and $0.01\times 2\tau \le x \le 100\times 2\tau$. Note that $u_1(T_c\,,\,x) = 0$ at all $x \in [0.01\times 2\tau,\,100\times 2\tau]$. On the other hand, when $T > T_c$, we set $u_1(T,\,x) = 0$ at all $x \in [0.01\times 2\tau,\,100\times 2\tau]$ (see Remark 1.10). Thus the equality (3.6) holds true for all $T \ge 0$ and for all $x \in [0.01\times 2\tau,\,100\times 2\tau]$.

We then put $T = \tau$ in (3.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.7)\quad & u_1(\tau,\,x) \\
&= \frac{U_3(x)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\int_{0.01\times 2\tau}^{100\times 2\tau}\frac{\left(\dfrac{3\,\xi}{99.99\times 2\tau}+1\right)u_1(\tau,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2}}{2\tau}\,d\xi \\
&= u_1(\tau,\,\xi_1)\frac{U_3(x)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\int_{0.01\times 2\tau}^{100\times 2\tau}\frac{\dfrac{3\,\xi}{99.99\times 2\tau}+1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2}}{2\tau}\,d\xi
\end{aligned}
$$

for a certain $\xi_1 \in (0.01\times 2\tau,\,100\times 2\tau)$. Note that ξ_1 does not depend on x. Then setting $x = \xi_1$ in (3.7) gives

$$
(3.8)\qquad u_1(\tau,\,\xi_1) = 0,
$$

or

$$
(3.9)\qquad \frac{U_3(\xi_1)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\int_{0.01\times 2\tau}^{100\times 2\tau}\frac{\dfrac{3\,\xi}{99.99\times 2\tau}+1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2}}{2\tau}\,d\xi = 1.
$$

Thus (3.8) implies

$$
(3.10)\qquad \tau \ge T_c\,.
$$

Let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ be sufficiently small. We next put $T = T_c - \varepsilon_1$ in (3.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.11)\quad & u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\,x) \\
&= u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\,\xi_2)\frac{U_3(x)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\times \\
&\quad \int_{0.01\times 2\tau}^{100\times 2\tau}\frac{\dfrac{3\,\xi}{99.99\times 2\tau}+1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\,\xi)^2}}{2(T_c-\varepsilon_1)}\,d\xi
\end{aligned}
$$

for a certain $\xi_2 \in (0.01\times 2\tau,\ 100\times 2\tau)$. Note that ξ_2 does not depend on x. Then setting $x=\xi_2$ in (3.11) gives

(3.12)
$$\frac{U_3(\xi_2)}{\max\limits_{x\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} U_3(x)}\,\frac{U_0}{2}\int_{0.01\times 2\tau}^{100\times 2\tau}\frac{\dfrac{3\,\xi}{99.99\times 2\tau}+1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\,\xi)^2}}{2(T_c-\varepsilon_1)}\,d\xi=1,$$

since $u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\,\xi_2)\neq 0$ by the definition of the transition temperature T_c .

Combining (3.9) with (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned}(3.13)\quad \int_{0.01\times 2\tau}^{100\times 2\tau}\left(\frac{3\,\xi}{99.99\times 2\tau}+1\right) \ \times\ & \left\{\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(c_1\,,\,c_2\,,\,c_3)\{u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2-u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\xi)^2\}\right.\\ +\ & \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(c_1\,,\,c_2\,,\,c_3)\{\tau-(T_c-\varepsilon_1)\}\\ +\ & \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}(c_1\,,\,c_2\,,\,c_3)\{U_3(\xi_1)-U_3(\xi_2)\}\right\}d\xi=0,\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is between $u_1(\tau,\,\xi)^2$ and $u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\xi)^2$, c_2 is between τ and $T_c-\varepsilon_1$, and c_3 is between $U_3(\xi_1)$ and $U_3(\xi_2)$. Here,

$$f(X,\,Y,\,Z)=\frac{Z}{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2Y},\quad X\geq 0,\quad Y>0,\quad Z>0.$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(X,\,Y,\,Z)=-\frac{Z}{2(\xi^2+X)^{3/2}}\left(\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2Y}-\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2Y}\frac{1}{\cosh^2\dfrac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2Y}}\right)<0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(X,\,Y,\,Z)=-\frac{Z}{2Y^2}\frac{1}{\cosh^2\dfrac{\sqrt{\xi^2+X}}{2Y}}<0.$$

By (3.2), the equality (3.13) therefore implies there is a possibility that

$$(3.14)\qquad u_1(\tau,\,\xi)>u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\xi)\quad\text{and}\quad \tau<T_c-\varepsilon_1\ (<T_c).$$

Here, $u_1(T_c-\varepsilon_1\,,\xi)$ (>0) is expected to be sufficiently small provided that the solution u_1 is continuous with respect to the temperature T. This is because $u_1(T_c\,,\xi)=0$ at all $\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$.

From (3.10) and (3.14) we thus have

$$\tau<T_c\quad\text{or}\quad\tau\geq T_c\,.$$

We have only to choose suitably both the function $U_3(\cdot)$ and the value of the constant $U_0\in(0,\,0.8)$ (see (3.3)) such that the inequality $\tau<T_c$ holds true.

4 Proof of Theorem 2.2

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 2.2. We first show that $A : W \to W$.

Lemma 4.1. *If $u \in W$, then $Au \in C([\tau,\, T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D])$.*

Proof. Let $(T,\, x)$, $(T_1,\, x_1) \in [\tau,\, T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$. For $u \in W$,

$$Au(T,\, x) - Au(T_1,\, x_1) = Au(T,\, x) - Au(T,\, x_1) + Au(T,\, x_1) - Au(T_1,\, x_1). \tag{4.1}$$

By (1.7) the potential $U(\cdot,\, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous on $[\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]^2$, and hence for an arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $|x - x_1| < \delta_1$ implies

$$|U(x,\, \xi) - U(x_1,\, \xi)| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3\hbar\omega_D} \quad \text{at all} \quad \xi \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D].$$

Note that the δ_1 does not depend nor on x, nor on x_1, nor on ξ, nor on T, nor on T_1. The first and second terms on the right side of (4.1) therefore turn into

$$\begin{aligned} |Au(T,\, x) - Au(T,\, x_1)| &\le \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{|U(x,\, \xi) - U(x_1\,,\, \xi)|\; u(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}}\, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}\,. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the third and fourth terms become

$$|Au(T,\, x_1) - Au(T_1,\, x_1)| \le \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x_1\,,\, \xi)\, \{K_1(T,\, T_1\,, \xi) + K_2(T,\, T_1\,, \xi)\}\; d\xi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(T,\, T_1\,, \xi) &= \left| \frac{u(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}}\, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \frac{u(T_1\,,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T_1\,,\, \xi)^2}}\, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T_1\,,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} \right|, \\ K_2(T,\, T_1\,, \xi) &= \frac{u(T_1\,,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T_1\,,\, \xi)^2}} \left| \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T_1\,,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} - \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T_1\,,\, \xi)^2}}{2T_1} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u \in W$ is uniformly continuous on $[\tau,\, T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$. Then, for the $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ above, there is a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $|T - T_1| < \delta_2$ implies

$$|u(T,\, \xi) - u(T_1\,,\, \xi)| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3\,a}\,,$$

where a is that in (2.1). Here, the δ_2 does not depend nor on x, nor on x_1, nor on ξ, nor on T, nor on T_1. Note that $\dfrac{z}{\cosh^2 z} \le \tanh z \;\; (z \ge 0)$ and that $z \mapsto \dfrac{\tanh z}{z} \;\; (z \ge 0)$ is strictly

decreasing. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x_1\,,\,\xi)K_1(T,\,T_1\,,\xi)\,d\xi,\\
=\;&\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x_1\,,\,\xi)}{(\xi^2+c^2)^{3/2}}\left\{\xi^2\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2T}+c^2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2T}\frac{1}{\cosh^2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+c^2}}{2T}}\right\}\\
&\qquad\times|u(T,\,\xi)-u(T_1\,,\,\xi)|\,d\xi\\
\le\;&\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{U(x_1\,,\,\xi)}{\xi}\tanh\frac{\xi}{2T}\,|u(T,\,\xi)-u(T_1\,,\,\xi)|\,d\xi\\
<\;&\frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, c is between $u(T,\,\xi)$ and $u(T_1\,,\,\xi)$. Moreover, if $|T-T_1|<\dfrac{2\,\tau^2\varepsilon_1}{3U_2\Delta_2(0)\hbar\omega_D}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x_1\,,\,\xi)K_2(T,\,T_1\,,\xi)\,d\xi &= U_2\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{u(T_1\,,\,\xi)}{2(T'')^2\cosh^2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T_1,\,\xi)^2}}{2T''}}\,d\xi\;|T-T_1|\\
&\le U_2\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{\Delta_2(0)}{2\,\tau^2}\,d\xi\;|T-T_1|\\
&< \frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, T'' is between T and T_1. Thus

$$|Au(T,\,x)-Au(T_1,\,x_1)|<\varepsilon_1\,,$$

where $|T-T_1|+|x-x_1|<\delta=\min\left(\delta_1\,,\,\delta_2\,,\,\dfrac{2\,\tau^2\varepsilon_1}{3U_2\Delta_2(0)\hbar\omega_D}\right)$. □

Lemma 4.2. *If $u\in W$, then $Au(T,\,x)\ge Au(T',\,x)$ $(T<T')$ and $0=\Delta_1(T)\le Au(T,\,x)\le\Delta_2(T)$ at $(T,\,x)$, $(T',\,x)\in[\tau,\,T_c]\times[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$.*

Proof. Let $T<T'$. Then

$$Au(T,\,x)-Au(T',\,x)=\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}U(x,\,\xi)\left\{K_3(T,\,T'\,,\xi)+K_4(T,\,T'\,,\xi)\right\}\,d\xi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3(T,\,T'\,,\xi) &= \frac{u(T,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\\
&\qquad-\frac{u(T'\,,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T'\,,\,\xi)^2}}\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T'\,,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\,,\\
K_4(T,\,T'\,,\xi) &= \frac{u(T'\,,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T'\,,\,\xi)^2}}\left\{\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T'\,,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}-\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T'\,,\,\xi)^2}}{2T'}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{u(T\,,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T\,,\,\xi)^2}}\ge\frac{u(T'\,,\,\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T'\,,\,\xi)^2}}\,,$$

it follows $K_3(T,\, T'\,, \xi) \geq 0$. Moreover, $K_4(T,\, T'\,, \xi) \geq 0$ since $T < T'$. Thus

$$Au(T,\, x) \geq Au(T',\, x).$$

Since

$$\frac{u(T\,,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T\,,\, \xi)^2}} \leq \frac{\Delta_2(T)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}\,,$$

it then follows from (1.5) that

$$(0 = \Delta_1(T) \leq)\ Au(T,\, x) \leq U_2 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{\Delta_2(T)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}\, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + \Delta_2(T)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi = \Delta_2(T).$$

□

To conclude A is a mapping from W to W, we need to show that Au satisfies Condition (C) for $u \in W$.

A straightforward calculation gives the following.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $u \in W$. Then Au is partially differentiable with respect to $T \in [\tau\,,\, T_c)$ twice, and*

$$\frac{\partial Au}{\partial T},\ \frac{\partial^2 Au}{\partial T^2} \in C([\tau,\, T_c) \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]).$$

For $u \in W$, let v be as in Condition (C). Set

$$F(x) = \left\{ \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x,\, \xi) \frac{\sqrt{v(\xi)}}{\xi}\, \tanh \frac{\xi}{2T_c}\, d\xi \right\}^2 \quad (> 0), \qquad \varepsilon \leq x \leq \hbar\omega_D\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.4. *For $u \in W$, there is a unique $F \in C[\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$ satisfying that for an arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|T_c - T| < \delta$ implies*

$$\left| F(x) - \frac{\{Au(T,\, x)\}^2}{T_c - T} \right| < T_c\, \varepsilon_1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \left| F(x) + 2\, Au(T,\, x)\, \frac{\partial Au}{\partial T}(T,\, x) \right| < T_c\, \varepsilon_1.$$

Here, the δ does not depend on $x \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$. Such a function F is uniquely given by (4.2).

Proof. Uniform continuity of $U(\cdot,\, \cdot)$ implies that the function F given by (4.2) is continuous on $[\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$. Moreover,

$$\left| F(x) - \frac{\{Au(T,\, x)\}^2}{T_c - T} \right| \leq U_2^2\, I_0\, (I_1 + I_2 + I_3)\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_0 &= \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\xi} \left(\sqrt{v(\xi)} + \sqrt{\frac{u(T,\, \xi)^2}{T_c - T}} \right) d\xi, \\
I_1 &= \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{\xi} \left| \sqrt{v(\xi)} - \sqrt{\frac{u(T,\, \xi)^2}{T_c - T}} \right| \tanh \frac{\xi}{2T_c}\, d\xi, \\
I_2 &= \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \sqrt{\frac{u(T,\, \xi)^2}{T_c - T}} \left| \frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}} \right| \tanh \frac{\xi}{2T_c}\, d\xi, \\
I_3 &= \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \sqrt{\frac{u(T,\, \xi)^2}{T_c - T}}\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}} \left| \tanh \frac{\xi}{2T_c} - \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} \right| d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

By Condition (C2), for $(0 <)\varepsilon_1 < 1$, there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $T_c - T < \delta_1$ implies

$$\frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c - T} < v(\xi) + T_c\,\varepsilon_1 < v(\xi) + T_c\,.$$

Note that the δ_1 does not depend nor on x, nor on ξ. Moreover, for $(0 <)\varepsilon_1 < 1$, there is a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $T_c - T < \delta_2$ implies

$$u(T,\,\xi)^2 = \frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c - T}\,(T_c - T) < \left\{\max_{\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} v(\xi) + T_c\right\}(T_c - T) < T_c^2\,\varepsilon_1^2\,.$$

Here, $\delta_2 = \dfrac{T_c^2\varepsilon_1^2}{\max_{\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]}\,v(\xi) + T_c}$ and the δ_2 does not depend nor on x, nor on ξ.

Noting $\dfrac{\tanh z}{z} \le 1 \quad (z \ge 0)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_0 &< 2\sqrt{\max_{\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} v(\xi) + T_c}\ \ln\frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\varepsilon}\,,\\
I_1 &< \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{v(\xi)}},\\
I_2 &\le \sqrt{\max_{\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} v(\xi) + T_c}\ \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{u(T,\,\xi)}{2T_c\,\xi}\,d\xi < \sqrt{\max_{\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} v(\xi) + T_c}\ \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\ \ln\frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\varepsilon}\,,\\
I_3 &\le \sqrt{\max_{\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} v(\xi) + T_c}\ \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\left\{\frac{u(T,\,\xi)}{4\tau\xi} + \frac{T_c - T}{2\tau^2}\right\}d\xi\\
&< \sqrt{\max_{\xi\in[\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]} v(\xi) + T_c}\ \left(\frac{1}{4\tau}\ \ln\frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{2\tau^2}\right)T_c\,\varepsilon_1\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $T_c - T < \delta = \min(\delta_1\,,\,\delta_2\,,\,T_c\,\varepsilon_1)$. Note that the δ does not depend on $x \in [\varepsilon,\,\hbar\omega_D]$. Uniqueness of F follows immediately.

We can show

$$\left|F(x) + 2\,Au(T,\,x)\,\frac{\partial Au}{\partial T}(T,\,x)\right| < T_c\,\varepsilon_1$$

similarly. □

For $u \in W$, let v and w be as in Condition (C). Set

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(x)\\
=\ &\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x,\,\xi)\frac{\sqrt{v(\xi)}}{\xi}\ \tanh\frac{\xi}{2T_c}\,d\xi\ \times\\
&\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x,\,\eta)\left\{\left(\frac{w(\eta)}{\eta\sqrt{v(\eta)}} - \frac{2\sqrt{v(\eta)^3}}{\eta^3}\right)\tanh\frac{\eta}{2T_c} + \frac{\sqrt{v(\eta)}}{\cosh^2\frac{\eta}{2T_c}}\left(\frac{v(\eta)}{\eta^2 T_c} + \frac{2}{T_c^2}\right)\right\}d\eta,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

where $\varepsilon \le x \le \hbar\omega_D$.

Lemma 4.5. *For $u \in W$, there is a unique $G \in C[\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$ satisfying that for an arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|T_c - T| < \delta$ implies*

$$\left| \frac{G(x)}{2} + \frac{\{Au(T, x)\}^2 + (T_c - T)\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left\{Au(T, x)^2\right\}}{(T_c - T)^2} \right| < \varepsilon_1$$

and

$$\left| G(x) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T^2}\left\{Au(T, x)^2\right\} \right| < \varepsilon_1 .$$

Here, the δ does not depend on $x \in [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$. Such a function G is uniquely given by (4.3).

Proof. Uniform continuity of $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ implies that the function G given by (4.3) is continuous on $[\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D]$. A discussion similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.4 shows the rest. This time we also need Condition (C3) in section 2. □

The lemmas above immediately gives the following:

Lemma 4.6. $A : W \to W$.

As mentioned above, we denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm of the Banach space $C([\tau, T_c] \times [\varepsilon, \hbar\omega_D])$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let a be as in* (2.1). *Then $\|Au - Av\| \le a\|u - v\|$ for $u, v \in W$. Consequently, the operator $A : \overline{W} \to \overline{W}$ is contractive, i.e., $\|Au - Av\| \le a\|u - v\|$ for $u, v \in \overline{W}$.*

Proof. Let $u, v \in W$. Let c be between $u(T, \xi)$ and $v(T, \xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Au(T, x) - Av(T, x)| \\
\le\ & \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} U(x, \xi) \left| \frac{u(T, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T, \xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T, \xi)^2}}{2T} \right. \\
& \qquad \left. - \frac{v(T, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + v(T, \xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + v(T, \xi)^2}}{2T} \right| d\xi \\
\le\ & \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x, \xi)}{(\xi^2 + c^2)^{3/2}} \left\{ \xi^2 \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + c^2}}{2T} + c^2 \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + c^2}}{2T} \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + c^2}}{2T}} \right\} d\xi \, \|u - v\|
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the inequality $\dfrac{z}{\cosh^2 z} \le \tanh z \quad (z \ge 0)$ holds and that the function $z \mapsto \dfrac{\tanh z}{z}$ $(z \ge 0)$ is strictly decreasing. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|Au(T, x) - Av(T, x)| &\le \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + c^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + c^2}}{2T} \, d\xi \, \|u - v\| \\
&\le \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x, \xi)}{\xi} \tanh \frac{\xi}{2T} \, d\xi \, \|u - v\| \\
&\le a \, \|u - v\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the set $\overline{W}$ is the closure of W, the result thus follows. □

Uniqueness of the fixed point $u_0 \in \overline{W}$ of the contraction operator $A : \overline{W} \to \overline{W}$ follows immediately.

Lemma 4.8. *There is a unique fixed point $u_0 \in \overline{W}$ of the contraction operator $A : \overline{W} \to \overline{W}$, i.e.,*

$$u_0 = Au_0 \,.$$

But it is not obvious that the equality in Lemma 4.9 below holds true. This is because the fixed point u_0 is in the set $\overline{W}$ and no one knows u_0 is in the set W.

Lemma 4.9. *The unique fixed point $u_0 \in \overline{W}$ is expressed by the following integral:*

$$u_0(T,\, x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\, \xi)\, u_0(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}} \, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} \, d\xi, \quad \tau \le T \le T_c \,, \quad \varepsilon \le x \le \hbar\omega_D \,.$$

Proof. Let

$$f(T,\, x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\, \xi)\, u_0(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}} \, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} \, d\xi, \quad \tau \le T \le T_c \,, \quad \varepsilon \le x \le \hbar\omega_D \,.$$

The function f is well defined on $[\tau,\, T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$, and a straightforward calculation gives $f \in C([\tau,\, T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D])$.

Since the fixed point u_0 is in $\overline{W}$ and the operator $A : \overline{W} \to \overline{W}$ is contractive, there is a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset W$ such that

$$u_n \to u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad Au_n \to Au_0 = u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad C([\tau,\, T_c] \times [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]).$$

Here, Au_n is given by

$$Au_n(T,\, x) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{U(x,\, \xi)\, u_n(T,\, \xi)}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_n(T,\, \xi)^2}} \, \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_n(T,\, \xi)^2}}{2T} \, d\xi.$$

Hence

$$|u_0(T,\, x) - f(T,\, x)| \le |Au_0(T,\, x) - Au_n(T,\, x)| + |Au_n(T,\, x) - f(T,\, x)| \,.$$

A discussion similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.7 gives the second term on the right side of the inequality just above turns into

$$|Au_n(T,\, x) - f(T,\, x)| \le a\|u_n - u_0\| \to 0.$$

The result thus follows. □

Now our proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

5 Proofs of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4

We begin this section by preparing a lemma. As mentioned in Approximation (A), the function u_0 in the form (1.9) of Ψ is the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W}$ of Thereom 2.2. However, when the solution u_0 is in the set $\overline{W} \setminus W$, we approximate the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W} \setminus W$ by a suitably chosen element $u \in W$, and then we replace the function u_0 in (1.9) by this element $u \in W$. In (1.9) we thus use this element $u \in W$ instead of the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W} \setminus W$.

For this element $u \in W$, set

$$\begin{aligned}(5.1)\qquad \Psi(T) &= -2N_0 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \left\{ \sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2} - \xi \right\} d\xi \\ &\quad + N_0 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi \\ &\quad - 4N_0 T \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \ln \frac{1 + e^{-\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}}{1 + e^{-\xi/T}}\, d\xi, \quad T \in [\tau,\, T_c],\end{aligned}$$

and for the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W} \setminus W$, set

$$\begin{aligned}(5.2)\qquad \Psi_1(T) &= -2N_0 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \left\{ \sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2} - \xi \right\} d\xi \\ &\quad + N_0 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi \\ &\quad - 4N_0 T \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \ln \frac{1 + e^{-\sqrt{\xi^2 + u_0(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}}{1 + e^{-\xi/T}}\, d\xi, \quad T \in [\tau,\, T_c].\end{aligned}$$

A discussion similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.7 gives the following, which shows that Ψ_1 is approximated by Ψ.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Ψ and Ψ_1 be as in* (5.1) *and* (5.2)*, respectively. Then, at all $T \in [\tau,\, T_c]$,*

$$|\Psi(T) - \Psi_1(T)| \le 2N_0\, \Delta_2(0) \left\{ \left(1 + 2\frac{T_c}{\tau} \right) \ln \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{\varepsilon} + a \right\} \|u - u_0\|,$$

where a is that in (2.1)*.*

Remark 5.2. In view of Lemma 5.1, when the solution u_0 is in the set $\overline{W} \setminus W$, we replace the function u_0 in (1.9) by the element $u \in W$ above, and in (1.9) we then use this element $u \in W$ instead of the solution $u_0 \in \overline{W} \setminus W$, as mentioned in Approximation (A). Needless to say, when the solution u_0 is in the set W, we use this solution $u_0 \in W$ in (1.9), and we need no approximation in this case.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume Approximation (A). Let Ψ be as in* (5.1)*. Then Ψ is differentiable on $[\tau,\, T_c]$, and*

$$\Psi(T_c) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial T}(T_c) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $u \in W$, it follows that $u(T_c\,,\, \xi) = 0$ at all $\xi \in [\varepsilon,\, \hbar\omega_D]$ (see Remark 2.1 above). Hence $\Psi(T_c) = 0$. A straightforward calculation gives that Ψ is differentiable on $[\tau,\, T_c)$. So it suffices to show that Ψ is differentiable at $T = T_c$ and that $(\partial\Psi/\partial T)(T_c) = 0$. Note that $\Psi(T_c) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(5.3)\qquad \frac{\Psi(T_c) - \Psi(T)}{T_c - T} &= 2N_0 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c - T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2} + \xi}\, d\xi \\ &\quad - N_0 \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c - T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\, d\xi \\ &\quad + 4N_0 T \int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{1}{T_c - T} \ln \frac{1 + e^{-\sqrt{\xi^2 + u(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}}{1 + e^{-\xi/T}}\, d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

By (C2) of Condition (C), for an arbitrary $(0<)\varepsilon_1<1$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $|T_c-T|<\delta$ implies

$$\frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c-T}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}+\xi}<\frac{v(\xi)+T_c\,\varepsilon_1}{\xi}<\frac{v(\xi)+T_c}{\xi}.$$

The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem therefore implies that the first term on the right side of (5.3) becomes

$$2N_0\lim_{T\uparrow T_c}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c-T}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}+\xi}\,d\xi=N_0\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{v(\xi)}{\xi}\,d\xi.$$

We can deal with the second and third terms similarly. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&-N_0\lim_{T\uparrow T_c}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c-T}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}\,\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}\,d\xi\\ =\;&-N_0\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{v(\xi)}{\xi}\tanh\frac{\xi}{2T_c}\,d\xi\end{aligned}$$

and

$$4N_0\lim_{T\uparrow T_c}T\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{1}{T_c-T}\ln\frac{1+e^{-\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}}{1+e^{-\xi/T}}\,d\xi=-2N_0\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{v(\xi)}{\xi}\,\frac{1}{e^{\xi/T_c}+1}\,d\xi\,.$$

We thus see that Ψ is differentiable at $T=T_c$ and that

$$\lim_{T\uparrow T_c}\frac{\Psi(T_c)-\Psi(T)}{T_c-T}=0.$$

□

A straightforward calculation gives the following.

Lemma 5.4. *Let g be as in* (2.2). *Then $g\in C^1[0,\,\infty)$, and*

$$g(\eta)<0\quad(\eta\ge0),\quad g'(0)=0,\quad\lim_{\eta\to\infty}g(\eta)=\lim_{\eta\to\infty}g'(\eta)=0.$$

Lemma 5.5. *Assume Approximation (A). Let Ψ be as in* (5.1). *Then $\Psi\in C^2[\tau,\,T_c]$, and*

$$\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial T^2}(T_c)=\frac{N_0}{8\,T_c^2}\int_{\varepsilon/(2T_c)}^{\hbar\omega_D/(2T_c)}v(2\,T_c\,\eta)^2g(\eta)\,d\eta\quad(<0).$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation gives that $(\partial\Psi/\partial T)$ is differentiable on $[\tau,\,T_c)$ and that $(\partial^2\Psi/\partial T^2)$ is continuous on $[\tau,\,T_c)$. So it suffices to show that $(\partial\Psi/\partial T)$ is differentiable at $T=T_c$ and that $(\partial^2\Psi/\partial T^2)$ is continuous at $T=T_c$. Note that $(\partial\Psi/\partial T)(T_c)=0$ by Lemma

5.3. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5.4)\qquad & \frac{\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial T}(T_c)-\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial T}(T)}{T_c-T} \\
= \; & -N_0\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2\,u(T,\,\xi)\frac{\partial u}{\partial T}(T,\,\xi)}{(T_c-T)(\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2)}\left\{\frac{1}{2T\cosh^2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}}-\frac{\tanh\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}}{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}\right\}d\xi \\
& +N_0\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c-T}\,\frac{1}{2T^2\cosh^2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}}{2T}}\,d\xi \\
& +4N_0\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{1}{T_c-T}\,\ln\frac{1+e^{-\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}}{1+e^{-\xi/T}}\,d\xi \\
& +4N_0\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{1}{T_c-T}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}{e^{\sqrt{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}/T}+1}-\frac{\xi/T}{e^{\xi/T}+1}\right\}d\xi.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (C2) of Condition (C), for an arbitrary $(0<)\varepsilon_1<1$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $|T_c-T|<\delta$ implies

$$
\left|\frac{u(T,\,\xi)^2}{T_c-T}\,\frac{u(T,\,\xi)\frac{\partial u}{\partial T}(T,\,\xi)}{\xi^2+u(T,\,\xi)^2}\right| \;<\; \frac{(v(\xi)+T_c\,\varepsilon_1)^2}{2\xi^2}<\frac{(v(\xi)+T_c)^2}{2\xi^2}.
$$

The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem therefore implies that the first term on the right side of (5.4) becomes

$$
\frac{N_0}{2}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{v(\xi)^2}{\xi^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2T_c\cosh^2\frac{\xi}{2T_c}}-\frac{\tanh\frac{\xi}{2T_c}}{\xi}\right\}d\xi
$$

as $T\uparrow T_c$. Similarly, the rest on the right side of (5.4) becomes 0 as $T\uparrow T_c$. We thus find that $(\partial\Psi/\partial T)$ is differentiable at $T=T_c$ and that

$$
\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial T^2}(T_c)=\frac{N_0}{2}\int_\varepsilon^{\hbar\omega_D}\frac{v(\xi)^2}{\xi^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2T_c\cosh^2\frac{\xi}{2T_c}}-\frac{\tanh\frac{\xi}{2T_c}}{\xi}\right\}d\xi.
$$

Continuity of $(\partial^2\Psi/\partial T^2)$ at $T=T_c$ follows immediately. □

Our proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete. Moreover, Theorem 2.4 follows immediately from Remark 1.14 and Lemma 5.5.

Acknowledgments

S. Watanabe is supported in part by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 24540112.

References

[1] V. Bach, E. H. Lieb and J. P. Solovej, *Generalized Hartree-Fock theory and the Hubbard model*, J. Stat. Phys. **76** (1994), 3–89.

[2] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper and J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. **108** (1957), 1175–1204.

[3] P. Billard and G. Fano, *An existence proof for the gap equation in the superconductivity theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **10** (1968), 274–279.

[4] N. N. Bogoliubov, *A new method in the theory of superconductivity I*, Soviet Phys. JETP **34** (1958), 41–46.

[5] R. L. Frank, C. Hainzl, S. Naboko and R. Seiringer, *The critical temperature for the BCS equation at weak coupling*, J. Geom. Anal. **17** (2007), 559–568.

[6] C. Hainzl, E. Hamza, R. Seiringer and J. P. Solovej, *The BCS functional for general pair interactions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **281** (2008), 349–367.

[7] C. Hainzl and R. Seiringer, *Critical temperature and energy gap for the BCS equation*, Phys. Rev. **B 77** (2008), 184517.

[8] A. L. Kuzemsky, *Bogoliubov's vision: quasiaverages and broken symmetry to quantum protectorate and emergence*, Internat. J. Mod. Phys. B, **24** (2010), 835–935.

[9] A. L. Kuzemsky, *Variational principle of Bogoliubov and generalized mean fields in many-particle interacting systems*, Internat. J. Mod. Phys. B, **29** (2015), 1530010 (63 pages).

[10] M. Niwa, *Fundamentals of Superconductivity*, Tokyo Denki University Press, Tokyo, 2002 (in Japanese).

[11] F. Odeh, *An existence theorem for the BCS integral equation*, IBM J. Res. Develop. **8** (1964), 187–188.

[12] A. Vansevenant, *The gap equation in the superconductivity theory*, Physica **17D** (1985), 339–344.

[13] S. Watanabe, *The solution to the BCS gap equation and the second-order phase transition in superconductivity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **383** (2011), 353–364.

[14] S. Watanabe, *Addendum to 'The solution to the BCS gap equation and the second-order phase transition in superconductivity'*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **405** (2013), 742–745.

[15] S. Watanabe, *An operator-theoretical treatment of the Maskawa-Nakajima equation in the massless abelian gluon model*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **418** (2014), 874–883.

[16] S. Watanabe and K. Kuriyama, *Lipschitz continuity and monotone decreasingness of the solution to the BCS gap equation for superconductivity*, arXiv: 1411.7473v2.

[17] J. M. Ziman, *Principles of the Theory of Solids*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1972.